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ABSTRACT

The Van Allen Probes Mission successfully launched on the morning of 30 August 2012 from Kennedy Space Center. As part of NASA’s Living With a Star Program, the Probes mission uses two identically instrumented spinning observatories to address how populations of high energy charged particles are created, vary, and evolve within Earth’s magnetically trapped radiation belts. The observatories operate in slightly different elliptical orbits around Earth, lapping each other four times per year, to provide a full sampling of the radiation belts as well as simultaneous measurements over a range of observatory separation distances. This article discusses the key characteristics of the spacecraft and its subsystems and payload, as well as the observatory environments that were drivers for the mission. In addition, the article describes mission operations and the telemetry trending approach used to evaluate spacecraft health.

INTRODUCTION/MISSION OVERVIEW

The Van Allen Probes mission, previously known as Radiation Belt Storm Probes (RBSP), was built and is operated by the Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory (APL). By flying two functionally equivalent observatories through Earth’s radiation belts, the Van Allen Probes mission studies the variations in solar activity and how these variations affect Earth’s environment. The data obtained during the mission improve the overall knowledge of the radiation belts and how they respond to solar storms and events, allowing scientists to improve and develop new models of this important aspect of Earth’s environment. The observatory instruments measure high- and low-energy electrons and protons, ion composition, and electric and magnetic fields using the Energetic Particle, Composition, and Thermal Plasma Suite (ECT), the Electric and Magnetic Field Instrument Suite and Integrated Science (EMFISIS), the Relativistic Proton Spectrometer (RPS), the Electric Field and Waves Suite (EFW), and the RBSP Ion Composition Experiment (RBSPICE).

The mission was developed for a baseline life of 2 years and 74 days, which includes a 60-day commissioning period after launch, a 2-year science mission, and 14 days at the end of the mission for disposal. The 2-year science mission lifetime was required to provide sufficient local time, altitude, and event coverage to improve the understanding and determine the relative significance of the various mechanisms that operate within the radiation belts and their individual and collective effects (see the article by Adams and Fretz in this issue).

The twin observatories successfully launched on an Atlas V-401 launch vehicle from Kennedy Space Center on 30 August 2012. The launch vehicle released each observatory separately and was responsible for orient-
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To date, the Van Allen Probes mission has achieved all of its Level-1 science goals and successfully completed its prime mission. A 2-year extension for the Van Allen Probes began on 1 November 2015. The observatories are expected to operate beyond the 2-year extension, as they carry consumables to continue operation until early 2019.

The mission was classified as Risk Category C (using a mostly single-string architecture). Key mission and observatory design drivers included the following:

- **Mission requirements**
  - Maximize the time in the radiation belts using an orbit with an apogee of 30,500 km and perigee of 600 km
  - Provide identically instrumented twin observatories
  - Launch both observatories on a single launch vehicle
  - Design for a mission life of 2.25 years with a goal of 5 years
  - Provide near-continuous transmission of space weather information as described in the article by Zanetti et al. in this issue

- **Observatory requirements**
  - Operate through the radiation belts
  - Allow for payload data sharing in real time to trigger burst observations
  - Provide accurate time knowledge to allow for correlation of payload measurements
  - Continue operating and collecting data through the worst-case solar storm environment
  - Provide near-Sun-point, spin-stabilized attitude control for instrument fields of view
  - Support average payload power of 149 W and operate through eclipses of up to 114 min
  - Provide an average daily downlink volume of at least 5.9 Gbits of recorded plus real-time data per day
  - Accommodate a payload mass of 130 kg
  - Deploy booms for fluxgate and search coil magnetometers
  - Deploy booms for axial and wire radial booms for electric field measurements

Figure 1 shows the observatory and depicts the payload accommodation.

The remainder of this article describes the environments the mission has to survive and the unique spacecraft design that resulted from needing to operate in those environments, payload accommodation, and mission operations to date.

**OBSERVATORY ENVIRONMENTS**

**Radiation Environment**

One of the most unique challenges for the observatory design was the mitigation of the radiation concerns. The observatory and components are required to operate continuously through the heart of the radiation belts twice every ~9-h orbit for the duration of the mission. The belts are composed of trapped energetic protons and electrons, which affect the observatories by contributing to the total ionizing dose, single-event effects, surface charging, and deep dielectric charge/discharge of electronics. The energetic (up to hundreds of mev) protons provide the majority of the penetrating dose and all of the displacement damage. The outer belt electrons bombard the observatory during the long exposures near apogee and are the second major contributor to the total ionizing dose.

Analysis was performed to determine the shielding needed to mitigate the total dose effects. The results were used to set the parts requirements. The parts had to be rated to at least 34 krads [23 krads for the Integrated
Electronics Module (IEM)] without parametric or functional failure. This value was based on the baseline mission lifetime, with a radiation design margin factor of 2 and a nominal shield depth of 350 mils (500 mils for the IEM) of aluminum. After the observatory mechanical configuration was defined, the maximum total dose of 15.4 krads (radiation design margin = 2) was determined for individual electronic boxes and locations due to substantial shielding for their neighboring boxes.2

The solar panels, instrument optics, and exposed detectors had to withstand displacement damage associated with the trapped proton fluence. The observatories were designed to the modeled 10 MeV equivalent proton fluence. For the box wall thicknesses of 350–500 mils, the fluences were in the range of $5 \times 10^{10}$ to $8 \times 10^{10}$ p/cm$^2$. The solar cells were designed to withstand an equivalent 1 MeV electron fluence of $1.35 \times 10^{15}$ e/cm$^2$ behind 20-mil coverglass thicknesses.2

The estimated deep-space cosmic ray integral linear energy transfer spectra for the Van Allen Probes orbit was used to estimate the upset rates of single-event effects. The “worst week” environment curve together with the experimentally determined upset cross section was used in upset rate calculations. Parts susceptible to single-event latch-up with linear energy transfer threshold of less than 80 MeV-cm$^2$/mg were not used.2 Failure modes and effects analysis demonstrated that single-event upsets in parts would not cause mission-critical failures. Single-event upsets in parts of noncritical systems were not allowed to compromise flight system health or mission performance.

Critical digital parts (i.e., programmable devices, memories, and microprocessors) were evaluated for susceptibility to single-event upset effects, such as single- and double-bit errors, functional interrupt, and stuck bits. Critical linear and mixed-signal devices were evaluated for proton-induced single-event transients. Power devices were analyzed for single-event burnout and gate rupture. The peak proton flux expected in the Van Allen Probes orbit was calculated to be $1.0 \times 10^6$ protons/cm$^2$-s with energy greater than 10 MeV; the peak electron flux was calculated to be $3.7 \times 10^7$ electrons/cm$^2$-s with energy greater than 1 MeV (Ref. 2). These peak fluxes produce noise, affecting dynamic integrated circuit performance, guidance and control sensors, and science instrument resolution. Hardware was designed to operate through these levels.

Electromagnetic Environment

The Van Allen Probes science investigations rely on accurate understanding of the ambient environment in which the various measurements are being made. This drives the need for both magnetic and electric (differential charging) cleanliness of the observatories. Each observatory was required to have a residual magnetic field (as measured at the magnetometer locations) of $>5$ nT, with dynamic variations of this residual field of $>0.1$ nT. Similarly, the observatory-generated electric field as measured at the tip of the EFW axial booms was required to be $>4$ mV/m, even in the worst-case charging environments. However, internal charging was most critical to the observatory design since electrical discharges due to internal charging of dielectrics or floating conductors could actually damage electrical hardware and cause mission failure. Mitigations for internal charging, surface charging, and electromagnetic cleanliness are discussed below, and more details about the rigorous test program can be found in Kirby et al.3

Internal Charging

Internal charging and deep dielectric discharge are both caused by high-energy electrons and ions penetrating the observatory structure and coming to rest in the spacecraft. In particular, the electron flux in the energy range of 0.1–10 MeV is large enough to accumulate substantial charge in dielectric materials and floating conductors. The particles ultimately cause internal surfaces to charge until they exceed the breakdown or gap voltage, at which point it discharges to the local ground. The discharge can result in damage to electronic circuits or can cause upsets or noise in spacecraft subsystems or instruments. To prevent discharges, the floating conductors and large dielectric surfaces were not allowed anywhere on the observatories unless shielded with enough metal to prevent charging. In addition, harness and devices were designed to operate with a multitude of the discharges occurring, requiring special circuitry, additional shielding over some cables, and the use of large drain resistors to connect to ground in some circuits. All first circuit interfaces had to survive the discharges, and pulse rejection circuits were required, typically located in a field-programmable gate array for digital devices.2

Surface Charging

Low- and medium-energy particle accumulation and electron emission in sunlight causes differential charging of the observatory surfaces. If sections of the observatory are not electrically connected, large potential differences develop. These potential differences can lead to discharges or can contaminate the electric field and particle measurements. The observatories were designed so that all outer and inside surfaces are conductive and bonded together. Conductive adhesives and black paint were crucial to meeting the charging requirements.2

Magnetic Cleanliness

Magnetic cleanliness of the observatories was ensured by designing the layout of all circuits to minimize closed-current loops and allowing only balanced differential
interfaces to be used (with a shielded twisted pair harness configuration), minimizing the use of magnetic materials, and measuring the total signature of the assembled observatory.

Of particular concern were motors, latch valves, and strong magnets in particle instruments. Because latch valves are necessary in the propulsion system and require a magnet to function, a compensating magnet was installed in the observatory near the latch valves to reduce the field to acceptable levels. Another major magnetic control area was the solar arrays. To reduce magnetic emissions, the solar cells were laid out in U-shaped strings with the return current loop on the back surface of the panel, back-tracking the current flow through the solar cells to achieve magnetic compensation. To further reduce the magnetic emissions, neighboring strings were wired in opposite directions (i.e., one string clockwise and the adjacent strings counter-clockwise). With these mitigations, the measured magnetic field amplitude was at or below the 1-nT detection threshold.\(^2\)

**SPACECRAFT CONFIGURATION**

The observatory resources including mass and power are summarized in Table 1. Figure 2 shows the observatory block diagram with the functional elements of the observatory and the interfaces and connectivity between the spacecraft and instrument components. The observatories are nearly identical and composed of mechanical, avionics, flight software, RF telecommunications, guidance and control, propulsion, power generation and storage, power distribution, thermal, and harness subsystems.

**Mechanical Subsystem**

The mechanical subsystem includes the primary and secondary structure (central cylinder and structural panels), mechanisms, deployables, and separation system. The observatories are nearly identical with the exception of the observatory RF operating spectrum allocations and umbilical connections, as well as the accommodation of the separation systems. The primary structure is a forged aluminum cylinder; the panels and decks are honeycomb with aluminum face sheet with cutouts for booms, instrument apertures, power shunts, thrusters, and Sun sensors. The octagonal cylinder is 1.3 m high and 1.8 m in diameter. The external surfaces are conductive or static dissipative to maintain ground continuity throughout the structure and to preclude surface charging. External surfaces are painted with a black conductive paint, and the outermost blanket layer incorporates a conductive Kapton material. Thermal radiators are constructed of germanium black Kapton because of its tolerance to radiation.\(^3\)

**Avionics**

The avionics subsystem combines the hardware command and data handling (C&DH) functions in a single IEM. The IEM chassis is a card-cage design and consists of five cards connected across a common backplane (Fig. 3). A 32-bit peripheral component interconnect bus, clocked at 16.5 MHz, connects the single-board computer, solid-state recorder (SSR), and spacecraft interface card (SCIF) for flow of commands and telemetry. Additionally, there is a telemetry card and DC-DC converter card.\(^3\)

The single-board computer is a BAE RAD750-based design, clocked at 33 MHz for 50 MIPS (nominal) with 16 MB of synchronous random access memory, 4 MB of electrically erasable programmable read-only memory, and 64 kB of programmable read-only memory. The SSR contains 16 Gb of synchronous dynamic random-access memory (SDRAM) with error detection and correction and hardware scrubbing. The selected SDRAM has a low upset rate (even in the RBSP environment).\(^3\)

The SCIF contains a custom field-programmable gate array design that implements interface logic and thruster control and serves as the interface to spacecraft components and instruments. The IEM handles both commands and telemetry data flow to each instrument via 115.2-kBaud universal asynchronous receive/transmit links. The SCIF also houses the observatory precision oscillator, which is used for generation of mission elapsed time, and the universal asynchronous receive/transmit links are synchronized to the observatory timekeeping system via a 1 pulse-per-second interface.\(^3\)

Table 1. Van Allen Probes spacecraft resource summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spacecraft Resource</th>
<th>Current Best Estimate</th>
<th>Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spacecraft dry mass</td>
<td>609.4 kg</td>
<td>743 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propellant</td>
<td>56 kg</td>
<td>56 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power consumption</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal 15–27°</td>
<td>277 W</td>
<td>350 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safe 27–33°</td>
<td>233 W</td>
<td>332 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal bus environment</td>
<td>0 to +30°C</td>
<td>-20 to +45°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delta V</td>
<td>183.4 m/s</td>
<td>151.4 m/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G&amp;C—attitude knowledge</td>
<td>1.024 deg</td>
<td>1.024 deg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spin axis control</td>
<td>3.1 deg (±0.25 rpm)</td>
<td>3.1 deg (±0.25 rpm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spin rate control</td>
<td>72 kbps</td>
<td>78 kbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average instrument data rate</td>
<td>16 Gbits</td>
<td>16 Gbits</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2. Van Allen Probes observatory block diagram.
The DC-DC converter card provides regulated secondary voltages derived from the spacecraft primary power bus and implements the box off-pulse capability. The telemetry card gathers temperature, analog, and discrete data and is connected to the SCIF via an internal I2C (inter-integrated circuit) bus.

**Flight Software**

The APL-developed custom C&DH software consists of a set of functional applications and libraries that are designed to be used with the Goddard Space Flight Center core Flight Executive software, which provides standard application services, and the VxWorks operating system, hosted by the IEM single-board computer. The onboard flight software provides command uplink, spacecraft command management, autonomy rule processing, command macro management, spacecraft time-tag command management, SSR management, telemetry management, downlink management, and spacecraft-to-instrument hardware interface management.

Telecommand code blocks are received at a data rate of 2 kbps during normal operations, or at a rate of 125 bps for emergency operations. The C&DH software retrieves these code blocks from the SCIF over the peripheral component interconnect bus and reconstructs telecommand packets from the code blocks. Telecommand packets are distributed to the instruments via the SCIF hardware or to the C&DH command management software based on the telecommand packet’s application identifier.

Observatory command packets received by the C&DH command management software are validated, prioritized, and sent for execution to the appropriate C&DH software application. Command sources are real-time commands, commands from a real-time command to execute a macro, commands that execute as a
result of a time-tagged rule firing, and commands that execute as a result of an autonomy rule firing.

The observatory is capable of simultaneous data collection, recording, and playback/downlink. Flight software SSR management functions are designed to automate data collection and storage, and to minimize operations complexity for configuring the system to control the downlink of stored science and engineering data. Flight software uses a file system to store data on the SSR. Each instrument and observatory component was assigned an onboard data storage allocation (Table 2). Under nominal operations, 24 h of stored science data are downlinked every day.

The instruments and spacecraft components produce science and housekeeping data in the form of CCSDS (Consultative Committee for Space Data Systems) packets. C&DH flight software collects the packets and routes them into files on the SSR that are stored in one of three directories, which in turn represent downlink priority. Onboard data collection and storage is automated and flight software provides additional automation to simplify downlink operations in the form of an SSR playback manager. Upon the start of a ground contact, stored commands enable the playback manager. This software scans the directories on the SSR and automatically selects for downlink the oldest file in the highest-priority directory, moving onto the next file in priority order as required to keep the downlink bandwidth fully utilized. Flight software uses the CCSDS File Delivery Protocol (CFDP) during the downlinking of files from the SSR to minimize data loss and the need to retransmit due to RF dropouts.

### Timekeeping

The timekeeping system provides knowledge of the correlation between time onboard the observatory and time on the ground. The system also provides an onboard time reference to which the times of all other events on the observatory are referred, including the times of observations by the science instruments. Since the observatories do not communicate with each other, there is a separate timekeeping system associated with each observatory. These two timekeeping systems are identical except for behavioral parameters such as clock drift rate. The observatory onboard timing system is maintained through the distribution of a 1 pulse per second timing signal from the observatory avionics to components and instruments with a timing uncertainty within ±30 μs (3σ) to support the observatory-level timing accuracy requirements.

### RF Subsystem

The RF system operates at S-band, with unique uplink and downlink frequencies for each observatory. The RF system is sized to enable downlink of at least 6.7 Gb of data per day per spacecraft, with varying data rates (up to 2 Mbps). The RF system comprises two low-gain antennas (a forward antenna located on the top deck and an aft antenna located on the bottom deck to provide coverage in both observatory hemispheres), a power combiner/divider, a diplexer, an 8-W solid-state power amplifier (SSPA), and a Frontier radio transceiver that interfaces with the observatory avionics subsystem. All of the components were designed and built at APL.

The 10° inclination of the Probes’ orbit places the ground track in the region indicated in Fig. 4. To provide adequate ground tracking of the observatory, three geographically diverse stations are used. The primary ground antenna is the APL 18-m dish in Laurel (APL-18), Maryland. To augment these contacts, secondary ground antennas, the Universal Space Network 13-m ground stations at South Point (Hawaii) and Dongara (Australia) are selected to provide additional coverage. In

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Observatory data allocations</th>
<th>Instrument data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Instrument</td>
<td>Daily Avg. Data Rate (kbps)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECT</td>
<td>20.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFW</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPS</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBSPICE</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMFISIS waves survey</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMFISIS Mag survey</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMFISIS burst (downlink allocation)</td>
<td>23.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spacecraft housekeeping</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>74.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. Ground station location and fields of view at apogee distance. Note that at closer distances, the coverage area diminishes; however, the closer distance enables a higher downlink rate for increased data volume throughput.
Power Subsystem

The observatories use a direct energy transfer power system topology that simplifies observatory electronics and minimizes electromagnetic interference generated by the power system. The power system consists of the power system electronics, battery management electronics (BME), a solar array junction box, a battery, and four deployed solar array panels. It also includes the power distribution unit to distribute the switched, unswitched, and pulsed power to the observatory components; the power distribution unit receives primary power directly from the power system electronics and has command/telemetry interfaces to the IEM via a serial universal asynchronous receiver/transmitter.²

The power system electronics consist of a single fault-tolerant 16-stage sequential analog voltage control shunt regulator with maximum battery current limit. The loads are connected to the single 8-cell, 50-Ah Li-ion battery (GS Yuasa) via the power distribution unit. The nominal bus voltage is 30 V and can vary between 24 and 32 V depending on the state of charge and temperature of the battery. The primary battery charge control method is constant current followed by a constant voltage taper charge. The BME consists of an interface board and a cell shunt board. Each battery cell has a parallel-connected analog shunt used during the mission to balance the end of charge voltage of each Li-ion battery cell. Each cell shunt is limited to 0.75 A maximum current bypassed around the cell in order to limit the amount of power dissipated in the BME. The BME contains eight relays, which allow the battery cells to be disconnected from cell shunts to limit leakage current during ground operations or whenever the BME is not powered.³

The solar array consists of four deployed panels with a total active area of 3.2 m². Each panel is approximately 0.739 m wide and 1.26 m long. The panel substrates are 25.4-mm-thick aluminum honeycomb with composite face sheets. The panel front cell side is insulated with Kapton and cocured with the graphite fiber face sheet. The back face sheet is not painted. The solar cells are triple junction cells with minimum efficiency of 28.5% (best triple junction, or BTJ) from EMCORE Photovoltaics. The cover glass on each cell is 0.5-mm-thick cerium-doped microsheet from Qioptiq with indium tin oxide coating.²

Thermal Subsystem

The observatories use a cold-biased passive thermal control design system to maintain the electronics and instruments within their required temperature limits. The majority of the electronics boxes are hard mounted to the inside of the spacecraft panels and decks with their internal heat going into the spacecraft structure. Local radiators on the side panels and bottom deck control the amount of heat lost to the space. Multilayer insulation blankets cover the majority of the outside of the spacecraft, including the top deck, to insulate the observatory from the Sun. Heater circuits on all the panels and decks are installed to protect the observatories from getting too cold. Very little heater power is...
required on orbit, and the heater circuits are provided as a fail-safe device. A few observatory components, such as the battery and several instruments, are thermally isolated from the spacecraft and have individual radiators, blankets, and heaters.\(^3\)

### INSTRUMENT ACCOMMODATION

The Van Allen Probes science investigations measure particle distributions, fields, waves, densities, and other parameters, answering the most pressing scientific questions about the behavior of the radiation belts.\(^6,7\) Each observatory accommodates the five science investigations and instrument suites, as shown in Table 3. The fields of view are shown in Fig. 5. In addition to the science instruments described below, an engineering radiation monitor is included on each spacecraft and shares some functionality with RBSPICE.\(^8\)

#### The ECT

The ECT is composed of three investigations: the MagEIS, the REPT, and the HOPE mass spectrometer. Collectively they cover, continuously, the full electron and ion spectra from 1 eV to tens of MeV with sufficient energy resolution, pitch angle coverage, and resolution and with composition measurements in the critical energy range up to 50 keV and also from a few to 50 MeV per nucleon.

The REPT instrument measures protons from 17 to >100 MeV with 30% energy resolution, and electrons from 1.6 to 18.9 MeV with 25% energy resolution. It consists of a stack of high-performance silicon solid-state detectors in a telescope configuration, a collimation aperture, and a thick case surrounding the detector stack to shield the sensors from penetrating radiation and bremsstrahlung. The signal from the detectors is collected by the charge-sensitive amplifiers, which drive the pulse-shaping circuits. REPT does all of its processing in a field-programmable gate array located on a digital board. The low- and high-voltage power boards provide the needed voltages to support all electronics. It is on continuously in one operational mode, where it provides energy bins, pulse heights, and space weather packets, as well as housekeeping telemetry. For a full description of the instrument, see Baker et al.\(^9\)

The MagEIS investigation consists of four magnetic spectrometers aboard each of the two observatories: one low-energy unit (20–240 keV), two medium-energy units (80–1200 keV), and a

---

**Table 3. Van Allen Probes science payload resource summary**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Science Instrument</th>
<th>Mass (kg)</th>
<th>Power Allocation (W)</th>
<th>Average Data Rate (kbps)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energetic Particle, Composition, and Thermal Plasma Suite (ECT)</td>
<td>65.6</td>
<td>89.7</td>
<td>20.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helium Oxygen Proton Electron (HOPE)</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>9.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic Electron Ion Spectrometer (MagEIS)</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relativistic Electron Proton Telescope (REPT)</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric Field and Waves Suite (EFW)</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relativistic Proton Spectrometer (RPS)</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBSP Ion Composition Experiment (RBSPICE)</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric and Magnetic Field Instrument Suite and Integrated Science (EMFISIS)</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>32.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals for science instruments</strong></td>
<td>129.7</td>
<td>149.2</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Figure 5.** Spacecraft configuration with instrument fields of view (FOV) from the observatory aft perspective. FOR, field of regard.
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high-energy unit (800–4800 keV). The high-energy unit also contains a proton telescope (55 keV–20 MeV). The magnetic spectrometers focus electrons within a selected energy passband upon a focal plane of several silicon detectors where pulse-height analysis is used to determine whether the energy of the incident electron is appropriate for the electron momentum selected by the magnet. Each of the MagEIS instruments uses a custom multichip module (hybrid) to amplify and digitize the charge pulse from the silicon detector pixels, located on the front-end electronics. Each instrument also has a digital processing unit, which interfaces between the spacecraft and the front-end electronics and provides necessary power and voltages, as well as processing for the data. Each instrument is on continuously, operating in its science mode, sending data on count rates, histograms, livetime data, and space weather beacon data. Low- and medium-energy units can also be commanded into a burst mode. For full description of the investigation, see Blake et al.10

The HOPE instrument measures ions and electrons from 1 eV to 50 keV at an energy resolution of 15%. The dominant ions species are identified using foil-based time of flight mass spectrometry with channel electron multiplier detectors. Ion and electron measurements are acquired on alternate spacecraft spins. The particles get deflected through the electrostatic energy analyzer, which accepts only charged particles within a specified energy-per-charge range. The particle then enters into the time of flight system, where its speed is measured. The particle mass is derived from the combination of the energy and speed measurements. The HOPE electronics unit contains the front-end electronics, digital board, and the high- and low-voltage supplies. Data are continuously generated and include electron data frames, ion data frames, and a full time-of-flight matrix. For full description of the investigation, see Funsten et al.11

**EMFISIS**

The EMFISIS suite provides DC magnetic fields measurements, as well as wave electric and magnetic field measurements from 10 Hz to 12 kHz. It includes two magnetometer sensors mounted on booms: the magnetic search coil to sense AC magnetic fields and the three-axis fluxgate magnetometer to measure low-frequency and DC magnetic fields. Signals from these sensors are detected with receivers in a main electronics box, which collects and processes all of the measurements and provides the needed voltages to the sensors. The booms extending from the spacecraft solar panels (see Fig. 5) place both magnetometers 3 m from the spacecraft body (~4 m from the center of the spacecraft). EMFISIS is on continuously, producing magnetometer data (64 vectors per second) and waves data. The waves data are produced in various modes: survey mode (7.5 kbps, always running) and a variety of burst modes that can be scheduled by ground. The burst data are stored in an EMFISIS 512-MB recorder. For a full description of the investigation, see Kletzing et al.12

**EFW Instruments**

The EFW instruments are designed to measure three-dimensional quasi-static and low-frequency electric fields and waves associated with the major mechanisms responsible for acceleration of energetic charged particles. The instrument uses two pairs of spherical double-probe sensors at the ends of orthogonal centrifetally deployed booms in the spin plane with tip-to-tip separations of 100 m. The third component of the electric field is measured by two spherical sensors separated by ~15 m, deployed at the ends of two STACER booms oppositely directed along the spin axis of the spacecraft. The instrument provides a continuous stream of measurements over the entire orbit of low-frequency electric field vector at 32 samples per second in survey mode. For higher-frequency waveform information, two burst modes are used with rates of 512 samples per second and 16,000 samples per second. A 32-GB memory keeps the burst data until data of interest are requested from the ground after review of the survey data. The EFW provides 3-D wave electric field signals with a frequency response of up to 400 kHz to the EMFISIS instrument for analysis and telemetry. For a full description of the investigation, see Wygant et al.13

**RBSPICE**

RBSPICE is a time of flight versus total energy instrument that measures ions over the energy range from ~20 keV to ~1 MeV and electrons from ~25 keV to ~1 MeV. The sensor contains microchannel plate detectors that measure the particle time of flight and six solid-state detectors that measure particle energy. Secondary electrons generated by ions passing through the entry and exit foils are detected by the timing anodes and their associated preamplifiers to measure the ion time of flight. Event energy and time of flight measurements are combined to derive ion mass and to identify particle species. The instrument consists of the sensor, the event board, and the support and power boards. The instrument is constantly on, multiplexing the collection of ion energy data and ion species data including spectra, counters, and prioritized events. For a full description of the investigation, see Mitchell et al.14

**RPS**

This instrument is a particle spectrometer designed to measure the flux, angular distribution, and energy spectrum of protons from ~60 MeV to 2000 MeV. The spectrometer consists of four geometry-defining detectors, eight energy-sensing detectors, and the Cheren-
propulsive maneuvers—are performed in contact with activities—including commissioning activities and all allow 100% duty cycle of the instruments. All critical attitudes remain constant, and the power system is sized to operations architecture. Figure 6 shows the distributed nature of the need for constant monitoring and allows for unattended they are inherently in a safe state. This minimizes the observatories are spin-stabilized and nominally sun-pointing, itself and the observatory design. Because the observatories involved in operating the observatories on a daily basis. Their primary tasks are to maintain healthy observatories and obtain the science data placed on the SSR by the instruments. This section describes the operations concept and key elements of the ground segment, as well as the various mission operations functions (i.e., planning and scheduling, real-time control, and performance assessment) essential to conducting a safe and efficient mission.

The mission operations concept is based on a decoupled operations approach that is enabled by the mission itself and the observatory design. Because the observatories are spin-stabilized and nominally sun-pointing, they are inherently in a safe state. This minimizes the need for constant monitoring and allows for unattended operations. Figure 6 shows the distributed nature of the operations architecture. The relative observatory attitude remains constant, and the power system is sized to allow 100% duty cycle of the instruments. All critical activities—including commissioning activities and all propulsive maneuvers—are performed in contact with the ground. Nominal science operations are not constrained to occur during “staffed” periods of time.

The decoupled operations concept is implemented by distributing the science instrument operations among the teams that developed the instruments. The Mission Operations Team (MOT) operates the spacecraft bus from the Mission Operations Center (MOC) located at APL in Building 21, which serves as the central hub through which all command and telemetry flow. The instrument teams operate their instruments from the Science Operations Centers (SOCs), which are independent of the bus operations and the operation of the other instruments and reside at a facility of the instrument team’s choice. The SOCs send instrument commands into the MOC, and those commands are stored in command queues until a ground station contact. When the queues are opened, the commands are transmitted to the instrument onboard the observatory. The SOCs may receive housekeeping telemetry during the contacts by connecting to the MOC and receive the science data after contacts by retrieving the Level-0 telemetry files from the MOC data server. The MOC data server stores the many data products the various teams need to conduct routine operations, is the center of data product transfer, and resides in the MOC area. This approach of unattended, decoupled operations has greatly reduced the cost of the operational phase of the mission.

The mission operations management of the SSR is simplified on the Van Allen Probes because of the onboard file system and the use of CFDP. Using the closed-loop CFDP functionality, the ground system and observatory communicate autonomously to ensure the data have been received on the ground before the data are deleted onboard. Whereas closed-loop CFDP is used when in contact with the APL ground station, during contacts with a Universal Space Network station, an open-loop version of CFDP is used because of the lack

Figure 6. Van Allen Probes distributed ground system, MOC, and SOC architecture.
of ability to exchange the CFDP communications in real time.\textsuperscript{16}

The primary ground station is the 18-m antenna on the APL campus and is controlled by the Satellite Communications Facility in Building 36. The 18-m dish supports the majority of contact time required to bring down the desired 5.9 Gbits of data per day per observatory. During periods when the orbital geometry does not allow that support, the Universal Space Network’s South Point (Hawaii) and Dongara (Australia) stations are used. For navigation purposes, short contacts with the Dongara station are required on a weekly basis. The mission also uses communications with the Tracking and Data Relay Satellite System Space Network only for launch support, commissioning, and critical activities due to the 1-kbps downlink rate.\textsuperscript{16}

The mission operations functionality is broken down into planning and scheduling, real-time control, and performance assessment functions, with each of these functions feeding into the other to facilitate safe and efficient operations. The planning function is used for scheduling observatory activities. These activities include RF control for ground station contact, SSR control, eclipse entry and exit notifications, various housekeeping functions, and maneuvers. These activities, or events, comprise repeatable command sequences that may have various instantiations depending on the specific scheduling criteria. All events are classified as either routine or sporadic. Routine events are scheduled at regular intervals based on either time or contacts.\textsuperscript{16}

The planning and scheduling function uses a web-based tool known as Scheduler, which is a ground software tool the MOT uses to develop the weekly schedule of events. The Scheduler tool schedules routine events automatically based on their predefined scheduling criteria; the MOT places sporadic events at the appropriate time in the schedule. The planning process also incorporates the use of simulators to verify proper command sequencing for particular observatory activities. The MOT uses two types of simulators: a hardware-based simulator known as the RBSP Operations Simulator and a software-based simulator known as the Flight Accelerated Simulation Tool. The final part of the planning process involves the final creation of contact plans and associated procedures and scripts. These are transferred to the MOC for execution during the contact.\textsuperscript{16}

The real-time control function governs communications between the ground and observatories during the ground station contacts; however, during normal day-to-day operations, the majority of contacts are unattended, with the exception of critical activities such as maneuvers and possible anomaly recovery activities. During both real-time and unattended contacts, the spacecraft housekeeping telemetry is evaluated for state of health and the ground system is set up to provide remote notification of specific alarms to the MOT for spacecraft alarms and to the instrument/SOC teams for specific instrument alarms. After the initial state-of-health evaluation, the SSR downlink is initiated. Spacecraft commanding is then performed, followed by the opening of the different SOC command queues so that instrument commands that had been waiting are uplinked.\textsuperscript{16}

To downlink the amount of science data acquired on the SSR on a daily basis, the MOT schedules approximately 3 h of contact time per day per observatory. To obtain this much contact time, as well as to obtain the contact time for maneuvers and other necessary activities to be done outside of SSR downlinks, the Van Allen Probes use three different networks: the APL 18-m antenna (Fig. 7; Universal Space Network stations in South Point (Hawaii) and in Dongara (Australia) and the Tracking and Data Relay Satellite System Space Network.

The performance assessment function is broken down into two classifications. One type is routine assessment, which involves alarm processing and trending. Routine assessment consists of determining the status, configuration, and performance of each spacecraft subsystem. Alarm processing is performed on all observatory housekeeping data that are collected via the SSR as well as in real time. The alarm-processing software notifies the MOT of an unusual occurrence or condition of the observatory. The team evaluates each alarm to determine a proper course of action to understand the cause and if necessary, remedy the alarm condition.\textsuperscript{16}

Trending is the periodic monitoring of critical bus components, including those components that are known to degrade over time. The MOT reviews the plots on a daily, weekly, monthly, quarterly, and annual basis to monitor for any usual occurrences or possible trends.

The other function within performance assessment is the investigation and resolution of anomalous behavior identified either during real-time contact or during offline assessment of performance. The performance assessment function within mission operations requires

![Figure 7. APL 18-m (60-ft) antenna in Laurel, Maryland.](image)
further investigation so that the team can understand the cause and to determine a path of resolution. In some cases, the resolution may involve changes to processes, procedures, command sequences, and possibly alarms. Anomaly reports are written when an anomalous condition is first identified, and the resolution is documented as part of the anomaly closeout process. The performance assessment function closes the loop within the mission operations process by feeding into the planning function any changes necessary to address issues uncovered during the real-time or assessment aspects so that the team can continue to try to improve operations efficiency.16

OBSERVATORY TRENDING

In addition to the routine trending mission operations team members perform as a part of their performance assessment, the Van Allen Probes spacecraft team and instrument teams also play a role in the monitoring and trending process. The spacecraft subsystem leads monitor trends within their subsystems but also compile monthly plots for quick review. In addition, quarterly memos are produced with a summary of each subsystem's performance. The science instrument operations teams routinely monitor their telemetry and report status in monthly progress reports and participate in mission operations weekly telecons. Finally, the Van Allen Probes project team conducts trending reviews, which are discussed below, every 6 months.

The Van Allen Probes trending review serves as a formal opportunity for each subsystem and instrument team to report status to the project team and to identify and evaluate any long-term trends. Each subsystem and instrument lead engineer presents to the project team the findings on the data collected during the previous 6 months of operation, with a focus on the following: the overall subsystem or instrument performance, an overview of major subsystem activities, anomalous behaviors (which includes addressing any open anomaly reports), changes in nominal operating conditions, trends that could impact future operations and operational changes, and limit adjustments needed to accommodate changes in the mission (i.e., longer eclipses and attitude adjustments). The project team then assesses the overall spacecraft and instrument health performance and identifies any changes that could be made to improve performance or any preventative actions that could be taken to mitigate against future failures or degraded performance.8

The Van Allen Probes use the L3 InControl satellite command and control software for monitoring and controlling the satellites and ground equipment. Subsystem and instrument leads use several InControl capabilities to aid the daily telemetry monitoring and long-term trending review process such as the ability to distribute data to users and ingest data from other sources, archiving of both spacecraft and ground system data (i.e., raw and processed data, command histories, and event logs) for later playback or retrieval access, and data retrieval of archived data by time range.8

CONCLUSION

The Van Allen Probes have traversed the radiation belts for the past 3 years and performed successfully with minimal support required. The observatories have returned more than twice the expected science data. These science data have enabled major new discoveries about the radiation belts, including a temporary third radiation belt, acceleration energy within the belts themselves, and a nearly impenetrable barrier that prevents the fastest and most energetic electrons from reaching Earth. Preparations are under way to define new science objectives, orbit variations, and spacecraft configuration changes that will provide enhanced science data for an extended mission. The Van Allen Probes have demonstrated that they are well designed to support continued operations in the Van Allen radiation belts and have the capacity to make further contributions to studies of the Earth–Sun system.
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